

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,320	02/06/2004	S. Fred Brunk	22436.00	6484	
759	0 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Richard C. Litman			SPITZER, ROBERT H		
LITMAN LAW	OFFICES, LTD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 15035			ARTORI	PAPER MOMBER	
Arlington, VA	22215		1724		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/772,320	BRUNK, S. FRED			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
	Robert H. Spitzer	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT latute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  'HS from the mailing date of this communi NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	aram nom consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement	•	•		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	area election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is					
Applicant may not request that any objection to			•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	3,,	(=) (=) 0. (.).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Inlication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the					
application from the International Bu		Cocirca in this Hational Stage	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived			
	and or and opinion to proof for the	33311 33.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/06/2004.	/08) 5)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	-,,				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	e 0503		

ja

Application/Control Number: 10/772,320 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the cap and desiccant combination of Taylor (1,050,706).
- 3. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the cap and desiccant combination of Sharp (1,655,248).
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (1,050,706) in view of Moriya (4,287,995). The claims differ from the disclosure of Taylor ('706) in specifying that the cap is internally threaded and made of either plastic or metal. Moriya ('995) shows, in col. 3, line 20 through col. 5, line 7, that a cap can be internally threaded and made of either metal or plastic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to structure the cap of Taylor ('706) so that it is internally threaded and made from either metal or plastic, in view of the showing of Moriya ('995), so that such cap can be used with many different kinds of containers.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,320 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharp (1,655,248) in view of Gary et al. (2,548,780). The claim differs from the structure of Sharp ('248) in the porous material being paper. Gary et al. ('780) show that paper elements 10 and 11 are used for holding the bags 8 and 9, which contain the adsorbent material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize a paper material as the porous material in Sharp ('248) for holding the adsorbent material, in view of the showing of Gary et al. ('780), as any material which is compatible with the adsorbent would be usable.

- 7. The remaining references listed on both the PTO-1449 and the PTO-892 show art of interest.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Spitzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from (5:30AM-4:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,320

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 3, 2005

Robert H. Spitzer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 May 3, 2005